HY826

Technology Edition

AI Everywhere

The Hotel Yearbook

Foresight and innovation in the global hotel industry

HYB

The Ethics of the Traveler: Responsibility in an Intelligent Ecosystem

Post-Stay

Jonathan MacDonald
Founder, SELF

Jonathan MacDonald reframes the post-stay phase (typically dismissed as administrative afterthought) as the moment where the ethical stakes of AI-mediated travel become most visible. Once a guest checks out, their experience dissolves into data, and the central question becomes not what they remember, but who owns the narrative, and on what terms.

The post-stay phase is often treated as an appendix to the trip: receipts, reviews, points balances, and the slow fade of attention. It appears administrative, almost residual, as if the journey had already concluded elsewhere. Yet it is precisely here, after the body has left the property but before the next journey begins, that the ethical shape of travel becomes visible with greater clarity. In this suspended interval, experience is translated into data, and presence into trace.

What remains is not only memory but infrastructure: the persistent inscription of gestures, preferences, and movements into systems designed to retain, correlate, and reinterpret them over time. These traces are not inert; they are continuously activated, recombined, and projected forward into future decisions. The stories those systems will tell about us on our behalf do not simply reflect what has been, but participate in shaping what will be, extending the trip beyond its temporal boundaries into a diffuse, algorithmic afterlife.

The central ethical question after checkout is custody: who holds the narrative of the trip, and who may re-script it for another purpose. Travelers are not passive, but those outcomes are settled chiefly by how hospitality and technology choose to build memory, not by how carefully a guest reads a terms screen.

The post-stay phase has always been monetised; what artificial intelligence alters is the velocity, granularity, and opacity with which a narrative can be inferred, tested, and operationalised. The shift is less about the existence of value extraction than about its continuous, almost frictionless execution across interconnected systems. “Memory,” in that environment, is no longer chiefly human: it becomes distributed across models, automated agents, and platforms that infer, predict, and nudge with increasing autonomy.

The traveler remains a person, situated in time and experience, yet within the logic of the ecosystem the traveler is also reframed as a signal, an ongoing input stream to be processed, correlated, and optimised. Between these two conditions, the human and the computational, a subtle tension emerges, where identity is progressively translated into patterns, and patterns into actionable intent, often without a clear moment in which this translation can be observed or contested.

That split is not new; hospitality has long balanced guest experience with revenue and operations. What is new is the velocity and opacity with which inference compounds. Decisions that feel personal may be assembled from correlations we never knowingly authorised. Loyalty, in this light, is not only an emotional bond with a brand; it is a pattern of behaviour that can be harvested, simulated, and monetised long after checkout.

If we take that seriously, ethics cannot be outsourced to compliance checklists alone, nor to an “AI policy” PDF that leaves model training, agent behaviour, and partner data flows undefined. The traveler bears a responsibility that previous eras could afford to ignore: to understand, at least in outline, that convenience and personalisation are often traded against visibility and control.

The ethical traveler is not a cynic but a participant who asks who holds the narrative of my trip, and whether that narrative can be revised, deleted, or carried without surveillance as its default price. Responsibility, however, is not symmetrical. Individuals cannot “privacy” their way out of structural power imbalances.

The institutions that host, route, and remember travel (hotels, intermediaries, technology vendors) shape the default conditions under which choice is even possible. When memory is held in forms the guest cannot inspect, loyalty becomes less a relationship than a ledger maintained by others. Hospitality has always traded in care; an intelligent ecosystem tests whether care can coexist with optimisation that treats the guest as a predictable object.

Here, philosophy stops being a preface and becomes part of the itinerary. The liminal zone where systems still run, decisions still land, yet the line between care, commerce, and surveillance grows harder to read is not abstract theory for travelers; it is the texture of post-stay. The recommendations keep arriving; the guest journey continues in software.

The grammar that would let a person say “this is mine,” “this is private,” or “this is none of your business” frays when every touchpoint is also a sensor. The traveler’s ethical task is not to master every technical detail. It is to refuse the subtle resignation that because the machine remembers everything, the human must remember nothing.

On the institutional side, the same logic implies a design standard, not only a compliance regime or a comms plan. I write as the founder of SELF, but the point is illustrative: the user should hold the keys to what is theirs. Not as a slogan, but as an engineering and organisational commitment.

Data that can be read in plaintext by a company is not “private” in any deep sense, it is merely private until the next breach, policy change, or well-intentioned feature. A zero-knowledge architecture, in which encryption happens on the client and the service stores what it cannot decrypt, is one way to align practice with language: privacy as a property of mathematics and custody, not of marketing.

Passkeys and recovery phrases are mundane tools; their ethical weight is that they restore agency, the ability to participate in an intelligent ecosystem without treating trust as unlimited. None of this solves hospitality’s commercial realities of the present day, but it does, I hope, clarify a boundary.

The ethical traveler should not be asked to become a security engineer. The ethical host (and the ethical platform) should not ask guests to fund personalisation with intimate data they cannot later reclaim. Post-stay is where those bargains surface: in retargeting, in “personal” outreach generated from models, in the quiet sense that the trip is still being used somewhere as training fuel for someone else’s advantage.

A follow-up email or in-app message can sound as though the desk remembers the guest and still be composed wholly by a model from pooled signals, with little or no plain disclosure of which it is. Responsibility in an intelligent ecosystem is therefore shared and uneven.

Travelers owe themselves attentiveness: to default settings, to what they consent to when exhausted at booking, to the difference between a human concierge and an AI-mediated touchpoint optimised for conversion or retention. Industry owes travelers defaults that respect dignity: minimisation of data, clarity of purpose, and architectures that do not require faith in corporate goodwill as the only safeguard.

If the pre-stay phase asks where shall we go, and mid-stay asks how shall we be held, post-stay asks what of us remains, and who owns it. That question is no longer rhetorical.

The answers will define whether travel in the age of AI deepens hospitality’s human core or dissolves it into a seamless digital continuity where the guest is always present as data and never quite at home as a person.

Transformation, as I have come to see it, is less a straight line than a series of tensions: between ease and understanding, between memory and surveillance, between the ecosystem’s intelligence and the traveler’s right to remain opaque. Ethics does not require everyone to agree on a single verdict for the questions to matter.

No short chapter will “solve” AI. What remains within reach is a more honest settlement (before, during, and after the stay) about what may be remembered, by whom, and on what terms.